



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/851,462 | 05/08/2001 | Peter Staats | L-F / 207US | 3615 |
| 26875 | 7590 | 09/06/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP | | | ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T | |
| 2700 CAREW TOWER | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 441 VINE STREET | | | 3768 | |
| CINCINNATI, OH 45202 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 09/06/2007 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/851,462 | STAATS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Michael Rozanski | 3768 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Rozanski. (3) Tom Humphrey, Reg. 34,353.
 (2) Eleni Mantis-Mercader. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-17.


Identification of prior art discussed: Kormos et al, Critchlow et al, and Ziarati.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the distinction over the prior art and that the power signal is filtered rather than the control signals. That claim language will be reflected in an RCE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required